

## DPE PS coastal Mailbox

---

**From:** Brooks C Wilson [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 20 January 2017 11:09 AM  
**To:** DPE PS coastal Mailbox  
**Subject:** RE: Objection to Proposed Coastal Management Bill and SEPP

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

**Categories:** Submission

---

Dear Minister

You will have received the email below from Margaret Brice, our next door neighbor on Wamberal Beach. We have owned our property at [REDACTED] for over thirty five years and very much agree with Margaret's concerns. We would urge a period of further consultation before any of the legislation is enacted.

Sincerely

Brooks Wilson  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Margaret Brice <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** 18 January 2017 at 11:18:44 pm AEDT  
**To:** "[coastal@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:coastal@planning.nsw.gov.au)" <[coastal@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:coastal@planning.nsw.gov.au)>  
**Subject:** **Objection to Proposed Coastal Management Bill and SEPP**

Dear Minister Stokes

I write as the owner of [REDACTED], and as a concerned member of the NSW coastal community.

I object to the approval of the coastal management bill and SEPP on the following grounds -

1. The coastal mapping is unclear, appears inconsistently formulated (council to council), appears unfair and misleading. I agree with the submission of the Coastal Alliance and their stance that this process has not been completed in the correct manner.
2. I would argue that the coastal vulnerability zone be separated into different treatment for developed and undeveloped land, especially for current areas of dense development such as Wamberal.
3. There has not been sufficient consultation to reassure residents that terminology such as "ambulatory boundaries" and "time limited development consent" do not mean planned governmental retreat and potential loss of our homes. These frightening terms imply lack of support and concern to enable us to protect our families assets.

I would therefore ask the minister consider all these points and to seek further consultation with all stakeholders before these instruments are enacted.

Yours sincerely

Margaret Brice  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]